Advanced Date Structures

Union-Find Analysis (End!)

Notes -First HW- posted nort week -Sub next Friday 1/31, no class Monday 2/3, Sub Wed 2/5

Formally: 3 operations makeSet(x): take an item & create a one element set for it find (x): return "canonical" element of set containing X union (X, y): Assuming that X ≠ Y, form a new set that is the union of the 2 sets holding X + Y, destroying the 2 old sets (Also selects + returns a Canonical element for new set) How to implement? - certainly use existing DS Use à table: For each entry, record its set label.

Why? Runtime? Create 1 new entry makeset: O(1) lookup one entry And: O(i)union: O(n)linear loop So tradeoff w/this approach: Bad if many Unions.

Better: Use trees! (Galler + Fisher, 1964) Each set will be a rooted tree, where elements are in the tree a the root is the Canonical element. So each element has a pointer to its parent (+ root points to itself) Dr. makeset (x) RE DE make set (y) make set (2)~ (2)union(x,z)mabeset (a) makeset (b) (5). union(a, X) $\begin{pmatrix} c \end{pmatrix}$ union(b, y) makeset (c) union(2, b)

Implementation Don't actually need pts a "parent" array: Keep VSI index PLJ value 34 Z 3 Zenew roc f. g 9 JUL 10 0-

Implementation: (white not vot) Stind (x) * while $(p[x]_{e}^{t} \times)$ 0(m) X = p[x]verin X Union (X, Y). $\overline{X} = \operatorname{find}(x)$ $\overline{\chi} = \operatorname{find}(\chi) \neq 0(1)$ $\operatorname{ff}(\overline{\chi}) = \overline{\chi} = \overline{\chi}$

Still some flexibility: union by rank D reed to decide which root 7 becomes the root of new set: <u>ie</u> union (a,h) 2) Can also use "path compression". try to point as many things to the root as possible, so later queries get faster. le: find(m) A-BRARERAR JKEM DOP9

(1) Union by rank (introduced several places) Each time you union, make smaller tree tree's root the child of larger one. tou? Xo -Each node will have a "rank" field, initialized 60. -In a union! If one's rank is smaller. Smaller points to larger both are equal: TH point one to other sincrement new root's ronk

2) Path compression During each find(x) make every node on the path from x to the root point to the mate root: So: find(c): BX:

Implementation find $(i) \leq$ IF p[i] == return i else return And (p[i]) > [p[i] = find (p[i]) return p[i] han Dank

Formalizing the improvement Amortized Analysis Worst case here Still O(logn) Why? Might get tree of height log n

Amortized Analysis However, if we do many find (or unions), thirds get a faster o F_{X} : find $(x) \leftarrow O(\log n)$ $find(x) \leftarrow O(1)$ So: looking for average runtime of one operation, if doing many of them. MF: SIZE M Gnds (ASSUME M>7n)

Thm: Any m find or union operations run in the $O((n+m)\log n)$. Amortized cost of each: O(loq * n)Antholly O(na(m)) not perel (Nort the)

log*n:= the number of times you apply the log2 until the result is 54. = 5 1 if n= 2 (1+logz(bg2n) otherwise $\begin{array}{c|c}
n & log * n \\
\hline
(0, 1) & O \\
(1, 2] & 1 \\
(2, 2^2] & 2
\end{array}$ 2'6265,000 $(4, 16] = (2^2, 2^2] 3$ $(16, 2^{16}) = (2^{2^2}, 2^{2^2})$ 4 $(2^{16}, 2^{16}) = (2^{2^2}, 2^{2^2})$ 5 (frof)

Facts we need •Once a node staps being a root, it will never of be a root again. Why? consider unions + finds find: only changes parents, stops at root Union: one voot becomes
a child - can be path
a child - can be path
compressed, but not a voot
once not a voot, a node's rank never changes. Why? Well, When does rank get changed? hot in find In union, only changes the end root

· Ranks are increasing in any leaf-to-root path Proof: induction on time (ie # of ops) base case Singleton [v] Ind step: Consider the operation = either: makeset: union: A tred. Low A tred. Grad: find:

Lemma: When a node gets rank K, there are 22 items in its tree. Proof induction on rank: r=0Now assume true for anything Lr, of consider the first time rank = r: > must be a union, with two roots that have rank r-1 By II, those each have = 2 there are 2 of them, $\frac{1}{4}$ TEN.

Lemma For any r, there are at most (4) n/2r objects with rank r through entire execution. Proof. More induction! r=0; rank 0 = Dn elements: n = nr>0. If a node v has rank r: we will "charge" it to the two nodes up v of rank r-1 at twe of union. After Union, neither can ever make another rank r So: if at rank r-1, then it takes 2 of rent r-1 to make one of rank 1. 1= 2

Side note: Worst case n at rank Shighest rank? 10g n (And so tree height Carit be larger)

Back to the log^{*} n stuff: Define Tower(i) = 2² {height i 2 So log_2^* (Tower(i)) = iDefine: Block(i)= [Tower (i-1)+1, Tower(i) Block(0) = [0,1] (just b/c)Block(1) = [2, 2]Block(2) = [3, 4]Black(3) = [5, 16]Block(4) = [17, 65536]Block(5) = [65,536, 265536]

Now: We know runtime of find(x) = length of x 6 root path: Let our path m= $X = X_{1}, P(x) = X_{2}, P(x_{2}) = X_{3}, \dots, X_{m} = root$ $T_{0}^{*}, X_{2} = X_{3}$ $Y_{1} = X_{0}^{*}$ Say a node y is in i^{H} block if rank(y) \in Block(i) In UF, max vant of any node is log n (So only have log n blocks total.)

In these blocks: Block E logt m o Xm X = X, Block(0)When we move $X_{k} \rightarrow p(X_{k})$, could stay in a block (an internal jump) or more to higher block (a jump between blocks)

Lemma: If x is an element In Block(i), at most Block(i) finds can pass through it until it moves to Block (iti). Pf: What happens with each find? path compression

Lemma: At most n Tower(i) nodes have rank in Block(i) over entire algorithm Pf: For vank r, know Block(i) = [Tower(i-1)+1], Tower(i)]50.0 $\frac{n}{2k}$ $K \in Block(i)$ 7k

Finally The number of internal jumps in ith block is O(n) (over entire set of m finds). pf: X in Block (i) can have [Block (i)] internal jumps Block(i) ≤ h
 Tower(i) So It internal jumps 5

Thm moperations on n elements in U-F take O((mth)logen) total the Pf Either an operation is O(1), or its runtime is 2 (# internal jumps) + (# jumps b/t blocks) It internal jumps : # jumps b/t blocks: