

Generalized Persistent Homology: Part II, Why Homology?

David Letscher

Saint Louis University

SLU Topology Seminar

- Understand the underlying structure of persistent homology
- Use more general collections of topological spaces, not just filtrations
- Do we have to use homology?

Persistent Homology Recap

Filtration

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots$$

A sequence of topological spaces with maps (often inclusion) between them.

Note: can be indexed by rationals, reals, ...

Homology of Filtration

$$H_k(X_0) \rightarrow H_k(X_2) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_k(X_n) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Persistent Homology

$$H_k^p(X_t) = \text{im}(H_k(X_t) \rightarrow H_k(X_{t+p})) = \text{im}(f_{t,t+p})$$

where $f_{\alpha,\beta} : H_k(X_\alpha) \rightarrow H_k(X_\beta)$ is the map induced by the include $X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\beta$.

Birth

An cycle $c \in H_k(X_t)$ has *birth time* t if $c \notin \text{im}(H_k(X_s) \rightarrow H_k(X_t))$ any $s < t$.

Death

The *death time* of c is the smallest u such that the map $f_{t,u} : H_k(X_t) \rightarrow H_k(X_u)$ maps c to 0.

Definition

$\mathcal{PH}_k(\mathcal{X})$ is the submodule of $H_k(X_0) \oplus H_k(X_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_k(X_n)$ generated by elements of the form $(0, \dots, 0, c, f_{\alpha, \alpha+1}(c), \dots, f_{\alpha, \beta}(c), 0, \dots, 0)$ where $c \in H_k(X_\alpha)$ has birthtime α .

Note: this is equivalent to the original definition (due to Carlsson and Zomorodian) of the persistence module as a graded $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -module.

Theorem

If M is a Noetherian Artinian module the M decomposes uniquely into direct summands

$$M \cong M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$$

Recall that the standard persistence algorithm calculates birth and death pairs. Each of these pairs is a summand in the decomposition of the persistence module.

$$\mathcal{PH}_k(\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{F}_{(b_i, d_i)}$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{(b, d)} = 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F} \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0$ has non-zero terms for $b \leq t < d$.

What is it? (Abstract non-sense?)

Provides a formal framework for mathematical objects, their properties, maps between them, ...

What is it? (Abstract non-sense?)

Provides a formal framework for mathematical objects, their properties, maps between them, ...

Examples

- Sets
- Groups, Abelian groups
- Rings, fields, modules, vector spaces
- Topological spaces
- ...

Definition

A *category* \mathcal{C} has the following:

- $Obj(\mathcal{C})$, the objects
- $Hom(\mathcal{C})$, the morphisms (or maps) from one object in $Obj(\mathcal{C})$ to another. If $A, B \in Obj(\mathcal{C})$ then $Hom(A, B)$ is the space of all morphisms from $A \rightarrow B$.
- A binary operation \circ , called composition, of two morphisms. Formally, if $A, B, C \in Obj(\mathcal{C})$ then $\circ : Hom(A, B) \times Hom(B, C) \rightarrow Hom(A, C)$ that satisfies:
 - Associativity, for $f \in Hom(A, B), g \in Hom(B, C), h \in Hom(C, D)$,
 $f \circ (g \circ h) = (f \circ g) \circ h$.
 - Identity, for any object $A \in Obj(\mathcal{C})$, there is a morphism $1_A \in Hom(A, A)$, the identity morphism, such that for any $f \in Hom(A, B)$, $1_A \circ f = f = f \circ 1_B$

Examples of Categories

Category	Objects	Morphisms
Set	sets	set maps
Top	topological spaces	continuous maps
Mfld	smooth manifold	diffeomorphisms
Grp	group	group homomorphism
Ab	Abelian group	group homomorphism
Vect_k	vector space over the field k	linear maps
DAG	directed acyclic graphs	graph homomorphism
Mod	pairs (R, M) where M is module over the ring R	module homomorphism

A map between categories

(Covariant) Functor

$F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consisting of

- For any $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $F(A) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$
- For any $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ where $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $F(f) \in \text{Hom}(F(A), F(B))$ such that
 - $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$
 - $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & B & \xrightarrow{f} & C \\ & \searrow & \text{f} \circ \text{g} & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \end{array} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(g)} & F(B) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(C) \\ & \searrow & F(f) \circ F(g) & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

Arrows swap directions!

Contravariant Functor

$F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consisting of

- For any $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $F(A) \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$
- For any $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ where $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, $F(f) \in \text{Hom}(F(B), F(A))$ such that
 - $F(f \circ g) = F(g) \circ F(f)$
 - $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} C & \implies & F(A) \xleftarrow{F(g)} F(B) \xleftarrow{F(f)} F(C) \\ \text{f} \circ \text{g} \curvearrowright & & \text{F}(f) \circ \text{F}(g) \curvearrowright \end{array}$$

Examples of Functors

- Forgetful functor $F : \mathbf{Grp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ that ignores the group structure and restriction on group homomorphism.
- Abelianization function $F : \mathbf{Grp} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ that maps $G \rightarrow G/[G, G]$.
- Homology operator $H_k : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ that sends topological spaces to their k -dimensional homology groups and continuous maps to their maps induced by inclusion.
- Homotopy operator $\pi_k : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grp}$ that sends topological spaces to their k -dimensional homotopy groups and continuous maps to their maps induced by inclusion.
- Cohomology operator $H^k : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ that sends topological spaces to their k -dimensional homology groups and continuous maps to their maps induced by inclusion. This is a contravariant functor.

Filtrations as Functors

A (graph) filtration can be thought of as a functor **DAG** \rightarrow **Top**.

$$\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$$



$$X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_3 \rightarrow X_4 \rightarrow X_5 \rightarrow X_6$$

and the corresponding filtration of homology groups can also be thought of as a functor **DAG** \rightarrow **Ab**.

$$\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$$



$$H_k(X_0) \rightarrow H_k(X_1) \rightarrow H_k(X_2) \rightarrow H_k(X_3) \rightarrow H_k(X_4) \rightarrow H_k(X_5) \rightarrow H_k(X_6)$$

Definition

If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are categories with functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, a *natural transformation* $\mu : F \rightarrow G$ satisfies

- For each $A \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a map $\mu_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$
- For each morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$, $\mu_B \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \mu_A$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \downarrow \mu_A & & \downarrow \mu_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$

G

Given two categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} (Require objects of \mathcal{C} to be sets) there is a category $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}]$ where

- Objects are covariant functors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$
- Morphisms are natural transformations $\mu : F \rightarrow G$

For example, [**DAG**, **Top**] and [**DAG**, **Ab**].

Persistent Homology as a Functor!

$PH_k : [\mathbf{DAG}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{DAG}, \mathbf{Ab}]$ is the functor that maps a filtration of spaces to the corresponding filtration of homology groups.

$$\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$$



$$X_0 \Rightarrow X_1 \Rightarrow X_2 \Rightarrow X_3 \Rightarrow X_4 \Rightarrow X_5 \Rightarrow X_6$$

Apply the persistent homology functor and get:

$$\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot$$



$$H_k(X_0) \Rightarrow H_k(X_1) \Rightarrow H_k(X_2) \Rightarrow H_k(X_3) \Rightarrow H_k(X_4) \Rightarrow H_k(X_5) \Rightarrow H_k(X_6)$$

Why Homology?

If $F : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is any functor, this induces a functor

$$PF : [\mathbf{DAG}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{DAG}, \mathcal{C}]$$

- For example, $F = \pi_1$ induces persistent fundamental groups (or $F = \pi_k$ induces persistent homotopy).

$$P\pi_k : [\mathbf{DAG}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow [\mathbf{DAG}, \mathbf{Grp}]$$

- PH^k is persistent cohomology
- (Persistent) Alexander module

Note the filtration doesn't need to be an interval graph, it can be any DAG.

What Properties of Persistent Homology Remain?

- Persistent homology groups?
- Barcodes? Persistence diagrams?
- Persistence modules?
- Stability?

For simplicity, we will assume the DAGs are all interval graphs



Analogy of Persistent Homology Groups

$$H_k^p(X_i) = \text{im}(H_k(X_i)) \rightarrow H_k(X_{i+p})$$

If the functor $F : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a topological invariant, that is homeomorphic spaces have the same image, then

$$F^p(X_i) = \text{im}(F(X_i)) \rightarrow \text{im}(F(X_{i+p}))$$

For non-interval graphs, can define this in terms of limits and co-limits.

Note: We need to assume \mathcal{C} is a small category.

Persistence Diagrams

Birth time

There is a birth event at time α if $F(X_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow X_\alpha)$ is not a epimorphism.

Death time

If a birth event occurs at time α , the corresponding death event occurs at the smallest β such that $im(F(X_{\alpha-1}) \rightarrow F(X_\beta)) = im(F(X_\alpha) \rightarrow F(X_\beta))$.

Note: We need to assume \mathcal{C} is a small category and that the original filtration is topologically tame. (Only one topological change at any time.)

Persistence diagram

Consists of birth death pairs (α, β) in the plane.

Analogues of Persistence Modules

Modules have two main properties:

- Can add elements
- Can perform scalar multiplication over a base ring
- These operations have the correct unit, associate, commutative and distribution properties.

Additive category

There is a functor $\oplus : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Note: if \mathcal{C} is additive then so is $[\mathbf{Top}, \mathcal{C}]$.

Do we need scalar multiplication?

Decomposing Persistence “Modules”

Unique decompositions

Work in a Krull-Schmidt category, an additive category such that every object is either

- Indecomposable or can be written as a finite direct sum of indecomposables
- And decompositions are unique: if $X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_m \cong Y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Y_n$ then $m = n$ and there exists a permutation π such that $X_{\pi(i)} \cong Y_i$.

When do these decompositions correspond to persistence diagrams?